

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,219	03/26/2004	Ephraim Jeff Gutmark	139121	1927
John S. Beulick	7590 06/25/2007		EXAM	INER
Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600 One Metropolitan Square			RODRIGUEZ, WILLIAM H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St. Louis, MO 63102			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	\mathcal{H}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/811,219	GUTMARK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	William H. Rodríguez/	3746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 August 2004</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	a) accepted or b) objuiction is required if the drawing of the dra	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/3/04;4/2/07</u> .	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first office action in response to the preliminary amendment filed on 08/03/2004.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 7 improperly depends from claim 1 because the recitation "said discharging air from the manifold..." is first positively recited in claim 3. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of **copending**Application No. 10/810,142. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons.

Claims 1-20 of the instant application are merely broader than claims 1-20 of the copending application'142. Nevertheless, the more specific claims 1-20 of the copending application'142 "anticipates" the broader claims 1-20 of the instant application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 4, 8, 14, 15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dorris, III et al (US 6,308,898).

Dorris (particularly figures 1-3) teaches a gas turbine engine comprising: a core engine nozzle, a fan nozzle, a noise suppression system coupled 42 to at least one of said core engine nozzle and said fan nozzle, said noise suppression system receiving compressed air from said gas turbine engine and being selectively operable by an actuation valve 48 such that air discharge from the noise suppression system generates a flow control mechanism in the gas turbine exhaust flowpath (see abstract).

Since Dorris has the same structure as claimed, it is inherent that Dorris' device would be able to perform the recited method steps.

6. Claims 1, 4, 8, 14, 15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shaw (US 5,092,425).

Shaw (particularly figures 1-3) teaches a gas turbine engine comprising: a core engine nozzle, a fan nozzle, a noise suppression system coupled (47, 43) to at least one of said core engine nozzle and said fan nozzle, said noise suppression system receiving compressed air from said gas turbine engine and being selectively operable by an actuation valve 45 such that air discharge from the noise suppression system generates a flow control mechanism in the gas turbine exhaust flowpath (cl. 4 ll. 15-57).

Since Shaw has the same structure as claimed, it is inherent that Shaw's device would be able to perform the recited method steps.

7. Claims 8 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nesbitt et al. (6,718,752).

Nesbitt (particularly figures 1-7) teaches a gas turbine engine comprising: a core engine nozzle, a fan nozzle, a noise suppression system coupled 16 to at least one of said core engine nozzle and said fan nozzle, said noise suppression being selectively operable and generating a flow control mechanism in said gas turbine exhaust flowpath (cl. 4 ll. 50-61).

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /William H. Rodríguez/ whose telephone number is 571-272-4831. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/811,219

Art Unit: 3746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William H. Rodríguez/ 6/14/07

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3746